2

Vital Statistics from the National Center for Health Statistics

Stephanie J. Ventura



Maryland School of Public Affairs Welfare Reform Academy

www.welfareacademy.org

Part of a forthcoming monograph Data Needs for Measuring Family and Fertility Change After Welfare Reform Douglas J. Besharov, Editor

Data Needs for Measuring Family and Fertility Change After Welfare Reform

2

Vital Statistics Data from the National Center for Health Statistics

Stephanie J. Ventura¹

Data on births, deaths, marriages, and divorces are reported to the National Center for Health Statistics (NCHS) through the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP), which is jointly funded by the states and NCHS. This essay considers several specific topics:

- The nature and sources of vital statistics data.
- How NCHS and the state health departments collaborate in their efforts to produce highquality data.
- The data items on the birth certificate that can be useful in assessing welfare reform, especially maternal age and marital status.
- The current limitations of vital statistics data in assessing change in such areas as teenage pregnancy and out-of-wedlock childbearing.
- The discontinuation of the collection of detailed marriage and divorce data by NCHS.
- Some of the resource constraints that NCHS and the states face and the impact of those pressures on vital statistics data.

The Vital Statistics System and the U.S. Standard Certificates

Vital statistics data on births are based on 100 percent of the birth certificates from all states and the District of Columbia. Data are also available for Puerto Rico, the Virgin Islands, Guam, American Samoa, and the Commonwealth of the Northern Mariana Islands. The unique and irreplaceable feature of vital statistics data is that information is available for virtually every birth that occurs in the nation. Birth registration is a state function (as is death registration). The information on the birth certificate for every birth is collected and coded by the states and reported electronically on a continuous basis to the NCHS (NCHS 2000).

Information on a wide variety of maternal and infant characteristics is reported on the birth certificate (see figure 1). Examples of demographic information include mother's and father's ages, mother's marital status, race and Hispanic origin of mother and father, the number of previous children for the mother, and mother's and father's educational attainment. The data items on which this information is based are brief and provide limited detail. Nonetheless, the

¹Stephanie J. Ventura is Chief, Reproductive Statistics Branch, Division of Vital Statistics, National Center for Health Statistics.

information can be invaluable in tracking trends in, for example, teenage birth rates and out-ofwedlock births.

NCHS plays an important role in promoting and ensuring, to the extent possible, uniformity and comparability of data across states. The most critical pathway for achieving those goals is through the standard certificates of birth and death, which are developed under the auspices of NCHS in collaboration with state vital statistics officials and representatives of the medical, public health, and research communities. As a result of this collaborative and consensus-seeking approach, the standard birth and death certificates that NCHS ultimately recommends are, in most cases, adopted essentially without change by every state for use in its own area. In addition to promoting uniform standard certificates of birth and death, NCHS also develops and publishes instructional handbooks for completing certificates, provides guidance on definitions, and promotes the development of the model state vital statistics act and regulations that provide guidance and support to state registration officials in implementing the standard certificates for their own states (NCHS 1987, 1995; Kowaleski 1997; Hetzel 1997).

Assessing Welfare Reform with Birth Certificate Data

Many welfare reform goals focus on factors associated with the formation of families, such as the reduction of teenage childbearing and out-of-wedlock births. Several items on the birth certificate are of actual and potential use in assessing the effects of welfare reform; they include ages of the mother and father and the mother's marital status.

Basic demographic information for the mother is reliably completed because it is obtained directly from the mother or is readily obtained from routine medical records. The mother's age is directly reported on the birth certificate in five states (Kentucky, Nevada, North Dakota, Virginia, and Wyoming) and American Samoa. In all other reporting areas, age is computed from the mother's date of birth, an approach that helps ensure the accuracy of that information. Moreover, information on the mother's age is reported for virtually all births. In 1999, only 0.02 percent of the nearly 4 million birth certificates were missing this item (Ventura, Martin, Curtin, Menacker, and Hamilton 2001). Studies in a few states comparing mother's age as reported on the birth certificate with her age as recorded on hospital medical records found a high degree of consistency (Piper, Mitchel, and Snowden 1993; Schoendorf, Parker, Batkhan, and Kiely 1993). Thus, NCHS publications over the past several years that track birth rates for teenagers at the state level are based on highly complete, reliable, and accurate birth certificate data on the mother's age (Ventura, Mathews, and Curtin 1998; Ventura, Curtin, and Mathews 2000).

Mother's marital status is of considerable use for evaluating the effects of welfare reform. As of June 15, 1998, all but two states—Michigan and New York—obtained that information directly from an item on the birth certificate: "Mother married? (At birth, conception, or any time between?) Specify Yes or No" (as figure 1 also shows). A few of the states with the direct question use a slight variation. For example, five states (Indiana, Missouri, Pennsylvania, Tennessee, and Virginia) ask whether the mother is married to the father of the child. North Dakota asks, "Legitimate (Yes or No)," and Minnesota asks, "Child born in wedlock? (Yes or No)." In most states, if the mother is married, the husband's name should be listed as the father,

unless a court order provides otherwise. Thus, in all states except for Michigan and New York, a fair degree of consistency and comparability exists in the basic question on which marital status is based.

How is marital status determined in Michigan and New York? Mother's marital status is inferred in those states. A birth is inferred as nonmarital if either a paternity acknowledgment was received by the state vital statistics registrar or the father's name is missing. Largely as a consequence of welfare reform, which was underway in some states for several years prior to the 1996 Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act (PRWORA), the paternity acknowledgment is now the main indicator of a nonmarital birth in Michigan and New York. PRWORA stipulates that when the parents of a newborn are not married, information about the father can be shown on the birth certificate only if both parents have signed a voluntary acknowledgment of paternity (U.S. Congress 1996). Hence, when a paternity acknowledgment is not present and the parents are not married, the father's name should not be listed; thus, a missing father's name is an indicator that the birth is nonmarital (NCHS 2000; Ventura et al. 2001; Ventura and Bachrach 2000).

Before the enactment of PRWORA, state practices were sometimes more flexible when the parents were not married, permitting a father's name to be listed without his permission; as a result, determining the mother's marital status was almost entirely reliant on a comparison of surnames. Until recently, California, Connecticut, Nevada, and New York City all relied on name comparison. In 1997, however, California and Nevada changed to a direct question as part of the electronic birth registration process, and New York City changed its inferential procedures to match those in effect in New York State, as summarized earlier. Connecticut added a direct question to the state's certificate in mid-1998 (Ventura, Martin, Curtin, and Mathews 1999; Ventura and Bachrach 2000).

Information on mother's marital status from the birth certificate is both a legal determination and an indicator of the family and social situation into which the infant is born. State law has governed the ways in which this information is collected and reported for at least the past six decades. Consequently, collaboration between NCHS and the states is critical to developing the approach most likely to result in data that are consistent and comparable across states and groups. Variations in state law may, in some cases, affect the comparability of marital status data among states, but the changes in the past few years have tended to lead to more rather than less comparability. Changes in reporting procedures in recent years in California, Connecticut, Nevada, and New York City resulted in discontinuity in the trends in the mid-1990s, but sufficient information is available from those states to reconstruct methodologically consistent data. For all other states, data have been remarkably consistent over time.

Note that the information on mother's marital status that was derived from the birth certificate was never intended to be used as the basis for awarding performance bonuses; rather, it was intended only to track statistical trends and variations in out-of-wedlock childbearing. Now that birth certificate data are being used as the basis for financial awards, such as the "bonus to reward decrease in illegitimacy," the reporting procedures and data are being more carefully examined (U.S. Department of Health and Human Services [HHS]1999; HHS 2000). A state is

eligible for the bonus, which is administered by the Administration for Children and Families of HHS, if it is among the top five states with respect to its reduction in the percentage of births that are out-of-wedlock, and if its abortion rate for the most recent year is lower than in 1995. The total bonus award is \$100 million per year, to be distributed annually for four years. A state ranking among the top five receives \$20 million; if four or fewer states qualify, the award is \$25 million per state.

NCHS is responsible, as specified in the regulations implementing the bonus, for providing the birth data as well as for reviewing and evaluating the state data on nonmarital births to ensure methodological consistency and comparability over time (HHS 1999). The specific birth data that formed the basis for the bonus awards for FY 1999 and FY 2000 (awarded September 13, 1999 and September 15, 2000, respectively) were the ratios of nonmarital births to total births for the most recent two-year period compared with the ratios for the prior two-year period. For example, the birth data examined for the bonus award for FY 2000 were the ratios for 1997 – 1998 compared with the ratios for 1995 – 1996.

It is anticipated that data on the mother's marital status will be of enhanced use, beginning with the next revision of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth, which is expected to take effect after 2003. The item has two parts: If the mother is not married, a second question asks whether a paternity acknowledgment has been signed in the hospital (figure 2). This additional information may be of great value in assessing the child's family status around the time of birth.

Limitations of the Vital Statistics System for Births

The birth certificate data on maternal age and marital status are of great value and are quite reliable, and they can be used with confidence in evaluating welfare reform. Information on items for fathers, however, such as age, race, or Hispanic origin, is less well reported, especially when the parents are not married. In 1999, for example, the age of the father was missing for 14 percent of all births but for 40 percent of births to unmarried women (Ventura, Martin, Curtin, Menacker, and Hamilton, 2001). To truly assess progress toward welfare reform goals, more data are needed in conjunction with birth certificate data. The birth certificate does not and cannot provide information on the extent to which children stay with their families or how much their family situation changes. The information on the mother's marital status is captured at a single point in time, but the mother may become widowed, separated, or divorced shortly after a child is born. Conversely, she may marry after the child's birth, and the marriage could last at least through the child's upbringing.

Retrospective studies that use the birth certificate as the sampling frame can provide information on the household and family structure in which children are raised. NCHS has conducted a number of such studies, including the 1980 National Natality Survey and the 1988 National Maternal and Infant Health Survey. Currently, the National Center for Education Statistics, in collaboration with other agencies, including NCHS, is preparing to conduct the Early Childhood Longitudinal Survey in 2001.

Information from the birth certificate can, as mentioned, track trends in out-of-wedlock births

(i.e., births to women who are not married when the child is born). To track trends in out-ofwedlock *pregnancies*, however, data are also needed on the characteristics of unmarried women who have induced abortions or whose pregnancies end in fetal loss (i.e., miscarriage or stillbirth). It is estimated that 41 percent of out-of-wedlock pregnancies in 1997 ended in induced abortion and that 12 percent ended in fetal loss. Similarly, 29 percent of teenage pregnancies ended in abortion, and 15 percent ended in fetal loss (Ventura, Mosher, Curtin, Abma, and Henshaw 2001). To track changes in out-of-wedlock or teenage pregnancies, complete and accurate information on abortions is essential. Data on induced abortion and fetal loss are much less current, complete, and reliable than are data on live births; a separate chapter in this monograph addresses the limitations of data on induced abortions.

Data on fetal losses also are important for compiling pregnancy estimates. Although most states require that fetal losses of 20 weeks or longer gestation be reported, the reporting is actually poor, even for late fetal losses. Moreover, most fetal losses occur early in pregnancy, before reporting requirements are in effect. Because of the severe limitations in data on fetal loss from the vital statistics system, we have used fetal loss estimates developed from women's pregnancy histories compiled by the National Survey of Family Growth (NSFG), which provide useful data for national-level estimates. The NSFG, however, cannot produce state-level estimates of fetal losses.

In addition to data on abortion and fetal loss, accurate monitoring of state-level trends in teenage pregnancy (or even teen birth rates) and state-level trends in out-of-wedlock births or pregnancies requires that reliable population denominators be regularly produced so that birth rates can be computed. NCHS' recent reports on state-level teenage birth rates have used annual Census Bureau estimates of state populations by age, sex, race, and Hispanic origin (Ventura, Mathews, and Curtin 1998;Ventura, Curtin, and Mathews 2000; U.S. Bureau of the Census 1999). As the distance between the latest census and the current year lengthens, the reliability of the postcensus estimates can be a concern. Although we have been able to produce annual state-specific birth rates for teenagers, we have not been able to produce state-specific birth rates for unmarried women, except in census years, because the populations needed to compute annual rates are not of sufficient reliability.

This data gap is important because without data on populations by marital status, the only way left to monitor trends in out-of-wedlock childbearing is to examine trends in the *ratios* or *percentages* of out-of-wedlock births. Ratios or percentages are problematic: Although they measure the proportion of all births that are to unmarried women, that proportion can change even if childbearing by unmarried women remains stable. In fact, in the early to mid-1990s, the ratio continued to increase, despite the stability in the nonmarital birth rate, because the rising number of unmarried women led to more nonmarital births and childbearing by married women declined (table 1).

Another area of interest in assessing welfare reform is the formation and stability of twoparent families. Again, birth certificate data can be only indirectly useful, in the sense that the information on marital status provides an indicator of the extent to which children may start their lives in a two-parent family setting. Birth certificate data, however, cannot tell us how many twoparent families there are or the extent to which they remain intact. The question that arises is, can those patterns be tracked with marriage and divorce data from the vital statistics system? The answer is not clear. Marriage and divorce records provide no information specifically on children from previous partners or on the number of children currently in two-parent families (figures 3 and 4).

The U.S. Standard Certificate of Divorce includes items on the custody arrangements for children of divorcing couples and the number of children in the household at the time the couple stopped living together (see figure 4). It is possible, therefore, to estimate the number of children whose family status changes in a given year as a result of their parents' divorce. However, information is not available on the extent to which the children's living arrangements change because one or both parents remarry. Information is also not available on children whose parents separate but do not legally divorce. To track changes in the family settings in which children live and are raised, a different type of data collection system would be needed, such as a registry or retrospective survey or the Current Population Survey (CPS). The March supplement of the CPS collects information on marital status and living arrangements of men and women (Lugaila 1998).

Funding and Resource Constraints for Collecting Marriage and Divorce Data

Birth certificate data are one of the key components of the National Vital Statistics System, the result of a collaborative, cost-sharing arrangement between the NCHS and the state health departments, known as the Vital Statistics Cooperative Program (VSCP). The VSCP, funded in FY 2001 at about \$14.2 million, was essentially level-funded during the 1990s, receiving its first increase in FY 1999. NCHS worked hard in the 1990s to meet its contractual obligations to the states, which include cost-of-living adjustments that NCHS provides to the states to take account of increases in the costs of data collection and efforts to improve data timeliness. Over the past several years, NCHS was able to maintain the birth and death data systems only by entirely cutting other data systems and by eliminating certain items from the birth and death data sets. Thus, in 1994 NCHS discontinued the collection of abortion data, which were being provided in detail by 14 states. At one time, NCHS had hoped to increase the number of states providing detailed abortion information on the reporting form known as the Induced Termination of Pregnancy Report (see figure 5).

Similarly, NCHS discontinued the collection of individual record data for marriages and divorces after 1995 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1995). Detailed information was available for marriages and divorces through 1995, but reporting was incomplete and of uncertain reliability. A number of states did not have a centralized system for collecting marriage and divorce data, and comparability across states was compromised and uncertain. At the time NCHS discontinued the marriage and divorce data systems, detailed information on marriages was available from 42 states and the District of Columbia, and 31 states and the District of Columbia provided information on divorces. Certain data items were not reported by all states. Moreover, because states were facing their own internal funding and staffing shortages, many had relegated the reporting and collection of marriage and divorce data to a much lower priority than birth and death data, although most states continue to collect, tabulate, and publish selected data items.

Because of resource constraints at the federal and state level, continued concerns about the quality and completeness of the data being received, and the need for additional resources beyond the current investment to address data-quality issues, NCHS was forced to discontinue the detailed marriage and divorce data collection after 1995 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention 1995). Currently, the only information that NCHS collects is the number of marriages and divorces occurring in each state, with no information on the characteristics of the people marrying or divorcing. To reestablish the marriage and divorce data systems and build them to a level of completeness and quality that did not previously exist, a detailed assessment would be needed that takes into account the complexity and effort required.

In addition to ending the collection of induced abortion, marriage, and divorce data, NCHS curtailed the collection of certain data items on the birth and death certificates in order to meet its contractual obligations to the states. For example, NCHS no longer collects information on the dates of the mother's previous live births or other previous pregnancy terminations. Such information was useful in tracking trends in intervals between successive births or pregnancies, especially for high-risk women. Although parental educational attainment is considered one of the best measures of socioeconomic status (Mathews and Ventura 1997), data is now collected only for the mother, not the father. The panel that has just completed its evaluation of the U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth has recommended that these and other items be included in the revision expected to take effect after 2003, thereby signaling the continued importance of the items from a public health perspective (figure 2). Decisions will have to be made as to whether resources are sufficient to collect this information as part of the national vital statistics data system.

Another area that has suffered as a consequence of resource constraints may be less tangible but is nonetheless extremely important when comparing data across states or smaller geographic areas: data quality. NCHS prepares manuals with coding instructions and editing procedures, and it prepares and teaches statistics and registration methods courses to state vital statistics personnel throughout the year. The efforts are all designed to help ensure high-quality data. Over the years, NCHS has worked hard to provide technical and other assistance to the states to maintain and enhance the quality and timeliness of their data. In fact, over the past few years, NCHS has inaugurated a new statistical series based on large samples of births and deaths. The series provides a snapshot of the latest national trends in teen birth rates, receipt of prenatal care, and proportions of nonmarital births, among other important topics, and the data are published within about eight months after the end of a data year (Curtin and Martin 2000).

Because the state health departments have also seen their funding cut, the states' own efforts to monitor data quality, to provide technical assistance to hospital staff, and to query questionable data have been cut back. As electronic birth registration becomes virtually universal—it is currently in use for more than 95 percent of all U.S. births—the need to monitor data for quality, accuracy, and reliability will only increase.

In summary, the birth certificate remains the nation's most reliable and consistent source of data for tracking important aspects of childbearing in the United States at the national, state, and

local level. The collaborative effort between NCHS and the state health departments must be strengthened and enhanced. Birth certificate data are a national treasure that we must nurture and support.

References

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. 1995, December 15. Notice: Change in marriage and divorce data available from the National Center for Health Statistics. *Federal Register* 60(241): 64437-64438.

Curtin, S.C.; and Martin, J.A. 2000. Births: Preliminary data for 1999. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 48(14): 1-24. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Hetzel, A.M. 1997. *History and Organization of the Vital Statistics System*. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Kowaleski, J. 1997. State Definitions and Reporting Requirements for Live Births, Fetal Deaths, and Induced Terminations of Pregnancy (1997 revision). Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Lugaila, T.A. 1998. Marital status and living arrangements: March 1998 (update). *Current Population Reports* (No. P20-514). Washington, DC: U.S. Census Bureau.

Mathews, T.J., and Ventura, S.J. 1997. Birth and fertility rates by educational attainment: United States, 1994. *Monthly Vital Statistics Report* 45(10, Suppl.):1-20. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

National Center for Health Statistics. 1987. *Hospitals' and Physicians' Handbook on Birth Registration and Fetal Death Reporting*. Hyattsville, MD: Public Health Service.

National Center for Health Statistics. 1995. *Model State Vital Statistics Act and Regulations*. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

National Center for Health Statistics. 2000. *Vital Statistics of the United States, 1998. Vol. I, Natality.* Technical Appendix. Available: <u>http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/data/98natali.pdf</u>.

National Center for Health Statistics. Natality public use tape and CD-ROM. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics. Annual products.

Piper, J.M.; Mitchel, E.F.; Snowden, M.; Hall, C.; Adams, M.; and Taylor, P. 1993. Validation of 1989 Tennessee birth certificates using maternal and newborn hospital records. *American Journal of Epidemiology* 137(7): 758–768.

Schoendorf, K.C.; Parker, J.D.; Batkhan, L.Z.; and Kiely, J.L. 1993. Comparability of the birth certificate and 1988 Maternal and Infant Health Survey. *Vital and Health Statistics* 2(116): 1-19. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

U.S. Bureau of the Census. 1999, September 21. *Estimates of the population of states by age sex, race and Hispanic origin: 1990 to 1998*. Unpublished Census file ST-98-31. (SASRH98.TXT) Washington, DC: U.S. Bureau of the Census.

 $http://www.census.gov/population/www/estimates/st_sasrh.html.$

U.S. Congress. Congressional Record. Vol.142 (1996). Public Law 104—193. Personal Responsibility and Work Opportunity Reconciliation Act of 1996. August 22, 1996. Available at: <u>http://frwebgate.access.gpo.gov/cgi-bin/useftp.cgi?IPaddress=wais.access.gpo.gov&filename=publ193.104&directory=/diskc/wais/da ta/104_cong_public_laws</u>.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Administration for Children and Families. 1999, April 14. Final Rule: Implementation of section 403(a)(2) of Social Security Act; bonus to reward decrease in illegitimacy ratio. *Federal Register* 64(71). In: Code of Federal Regulations, Title 45—Public Welfare, Part 283—Implementation of Section 403(A)(2) of the Social Security Act Bonus to Reward Decrease in Illegitimacy Ratio. Available at: http://www.access.gpo.gov/nara/cfr/waisidx 00/45cfr283 00.html.

U.S. Department of Health and Human Services. Administration for Children and Families. 2000. *State Ranking of Percent of Births to Unmarried Women, 1995–96 Average and 1997–98 Average, and Percent Change in the Percent of Births to Unmarried Women, 1995–96 to 1997–98, and rank order of states with respect to their change.* Available: http://www.acf.dhhs.gov/news/press/2000/oow00sts.htm

Ventura, S.J.; Mathews, T.J.; and Curtin, S.C. 1998. Declines in teenage birth rates, 1991–97: National and state patterns. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 47(12): 1-20. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Ventura, S.J.; Curtin, S.C.; and Mathews, T.J. 2000. Variations in teenage birth rates, 1991-98: National and state trends. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 48(6): 1-16. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Ventura, S.J.; Martin, J.A.; Curtin, S.C.; and Mathews, T.J. 1999. Births: Final data for 1997. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 47(18):1-96. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Ventura, S.J.; and Bachrach C.A. 2000. Nonmarital childbearing in the United States, 1940-99. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 48(16): 1-40. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Ventura, S.J.; Martin, J.A.; Curtin, S.C.; Menacker, F.; and Hamilton, B.E. 2001. Births: Final data for 1999. *National Vital Statistics Reports* 49(1): 1-100. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Ventura, S.J.; Mosher, W.D.; Curtin, S.C.; Abma, J.C.; and Henshaw, S. 2001. Trends in pregnancy rates for the United States, 1976-97: An update. *National vital statistics reports* 48(4): 1-12. Hyattsville, MD: National Center for Health Statistics.

Year	Number of births to unmarried women	Percent of all births to unmarried women	Birth rate per 1,000 unmarried women 15-44	Birth rate per 1,000 married women 15-44
1999	1,308,560	33.0	44.4	86.5
1998	1,293,567	32.8	44.3	85.7
1997	1,257,444	32.4	44.0	84.3
1996	1,260,306	32.4	44.8	83.7
1995	1,253,976	32.2	45.1	83.7
1994	1,289,592	32.6	46.9	83.8
1993	1,240,172	31.0	45.3	86.8
1992	1,224,876	30.1	45.2	89.0
1991	1,213,769	29.5	45.2	89.9
1990	1,165,384	28.0	43.8	93.2
1989	1,094,169	27.1	41.6	91.9
1988	1,005,299	25.7	38.5	90.8
1987	933,013	24.5	36.0	90.0
1986	878,477	23.4	34.2	90.7
1985	828,174	22.0	32.8	93.3
1984	770,355	21.0	31.0	93.1
1983	737,893	20.3	30.3	93.6
1982	715,227	19.4	30.0	96.2
1981	686,605	18.9	29.5	96.0
1980	665,747	18.4	29.4	97.0
1979	597,800	17.1	27.2	96.4
1978	543,900	16.3	25.7	93.6
1977	515,700	15.5	25.6	94.9
1976	468,100	14.8	24.3	91.6
1975	447,900	14.3	24.5	92.1
1974	418,100	13.2	23.9	94.2
1973	407,300	13.0	24.3	94.7
1972	403,200	12.4	24.8	100.8
1971	401,400	11.3	25.5	113.2
1970	398,700	10.7	26.4	121.1
1969	360,800	10.0	24.8	118.8
1968	339,200	9.7	24.3	116.6
1967	318,100	9.0	23.7	118.7
1966	302,400	8.4	23.3	123.6
1965	291,200	7.7	23.4	130.2
1964	275,700	6.9	23.0	141.8

Γ

Year	Number of births to unmarried women	Percent of all births to unmarried women	Birth rate per 1,000 unmarried women 15-44	Birth rate per 1,000 married women 15-44
1963	259,400	6.3	22.5	145.9
1962	245,100	5.9	21.9	150.8
1961	240,200	5.6	22.7	155.8
1960	224,300	5.3	21.6	156.6
1959	220,600	5.2	21.9	
1958	208,700	5.0	21.2	
1957	201,700	4.7	21.0	
1956	193,500	4.7	20.4	
1955	183,300	4.5	19.3	153.7
1954	176,600	4.4	18.7	
1953	160,800	4.1	16.9	
1952	150,300	3.9	15.8	
1951	146,500	3.9	15.1	
1950	141,600	4.0	14.1	141.0
1949	133,200	3.7	13.3	
1948	129,700	3.7	12.5	
1947	131,900	3.6	12.1	
1946	125,200	3.8	10.9	
1945	117,400	4.3	10.1	
1944	105,200	3.8	9.0	
1943	98,100	3.3	8.3	
1942	95,500	3.4	8.0	
1941	95,700	3.8	7.8	
1940	89,500	3.8	7.1	

--- Data not available.

Source: National Vital Statistics System, National Center for Health Statistics, CDC, HHS.

Figure 1: U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Bin	•th
---	-----

LOCAL TILE HUNG		U.S. STA		BIRTH	SHITH NUMBER	
CHILD S NAME WAST, MIDDLE STU				2. DATE OF BIRT	H 'Month Day, Yeari	3 TIME OF BIRTH
4 SEX 5 CITY TOWN OF LOCATIO	ON OF BIRTH			6 COUNT	Y OF BIRTH	
7 PLACE OF BRITH C Hospital C Freess C Clinic Doctor's Office D Other (Specify)	anding Britting Center Readence		8. FACILI	TY NAME IN NOT 451	loton, give street and nu	mber:
9 I derivity that this child was born alive at place and time and on the date stated		h. Day. Yeari	Name	000 D C N	E IV other Man certifien M 🛛 Other Midwile	(Tupe Print)
Signature	ana			the second state and the second state of the s	SS 'Street and Nomber o	Auras Aurala Mumber
14 HIGISTRAR'S SIGNATURE				15 OATE Fa	ED BY REGISTRAR (Mon	n Day taari
164 MOTHER S NAME IFIESC Mode Last		166.	MAIDEN SURN	AME	17 DATE OF BI	RTH Month Day, Yanni
10 BIRTHPLACE State or Foreign Country/	19. RESIDE	NCE-STATE	106 0	OWNEY	19c CITY, TOW	N OR LOCATION
104 STREET AND NUMBER 21. FATHER'S NAME (First, Muddin, Logi)	19e IN:		Ves or nur 20. OF BIRTH (Mon		BIRTHPLACE IState or A	isidense, enter Zu Cade an areign Cauntryl
24. I certify that the personal information p Signature of Parent or Other Information		ct to the best of my	nowledge and l	selint.		
	INFORMA	ATION FOR MEDICAL	AND HEALTH U	SE ONLY		
25 OF HISPANIC ORIGIN? Specify No o Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.)	r Yes→II yes, specify 26.	RACE - American Ind (Specify below)	an Black Wh	te etc	(Specify any high	DUCATION Nest grade completed)
254 C No C Yes	26.				Demensary Secondary 27a.	10 12/1 College 11 4 or 5 -
Specify 256. No 🗆 Yes	265.	8			276.	
28. PREGNANCY IComplete each LIVE BIRTHS		29. MOTHER MAR any time betw	RIED? IAL brin. aanti /Pee or no.		30. DATE LAST NORM	WAL MENSES BEGAN
HS (Do not include this child)	(Spontaneous and induced at any time after conception)	31. MONTH OF P BEGAN=First	REGNANCY PRE Second, Third		32 PRENATAL VISITS III none. so state!	
Number Number	28d. Number	33. BIRTH WEIGH	(T. Specify unit		34. CUNICAL (STIMA	TE OF GESTATION INVerts
D Nove 10 Nove 20c DATE OF LAST LIVE BIRTH Minero, Year)	28+ DATE OF LAST OTHER TERMINATION Month, Year	1 354: PLURALITY- /Specify)	Single, Two T	whet etc	356 IF NOT SINGLE (Think etc. (Spec	BRTH= Bon Frut Second
36 APGAR SCORE 364 1 Minutes 366 5 Minutes	378. MOTHER TRANSFERRED P	RIOR TO DELIVERY?	CINo CIYe	s if Yes, enter nam	e of facility transferred M	em.
	376 INFANT TRANSFERRED? D] No 🗍 Yes II Ye	is, enter name v	f facility transferred	10 :	

Figure 1 Continued: U.S. Standard Certificate of Live Birth

38. MEDICAL RISK FACTORS FOR THIS (Check all that apply)	S PREGNANCY	40. COMPLICATIONS OF LABOR AND OR DELIV ICheck all that apply!	ERY	43. CONGENITAL ANOMALIES OF CHILD ICANCK AN INT ADDIVI	
Anemia iHct. < 30/Hub. < 10:	01 🖬	Febrile (>100°F. or 38°C.)	01 11	Anencephalus	01 0
Cardiac disease		Meconium, moderate/heavy	02 🗔	Sona bilida Meningecale	02 13
Acute or chronic lung theease	03 🗖	Premature rupture of membrane (>12 hours)		Hydrocephalus	03 0
Diaberes	04 🖽	Abruptio placenta	04 🗖	Microcephalus	04 0
Genital horpos	05 🖸	Placenta previa	05 0	Other central hervous system anomales	
Hydramnios/Oligohydramnios		Other excessive bleeding	06 🖂	(Specify)	_05 []
Hemoglobinopathy	07 [3	Seizures during labor	07 🗖	A CANTER CONTRACTOR	10.000
Hypertension, chronic	08 D	Precipitous labor (< 3 hours)		Heart mailformations	06 []
Hypertension, pregnancy associated	09 🖸	Prolonged labor (> 20 hours)	09 11	Other circulatory/resolutions anomalies	
Eclamosia	10 []	Dysfunctional labor	10 []	iSpecifyi	-07 []
Incompetent cervix	11 0	BreechMalpresentation	11 0		
Previous infant 4000 - grams	12 []	Cephalopelvic displopertion	12 0	Rectal attes-Misteriosis	08 []
Previous preterm or small for gestational a		Cord prolate	13 17	Tracheo escohageal fistula/Escohageal atresia	
infant	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Anesthetic complications	14 13	Omphalocele/ Gastroschinis	10 🗖
	14 0	Fatal distress		Other gastrointestmal anomalies	
Ah sensitization		None	00 0	(Specify)	-11 0
		Other		in the second	
None	00 0	(Sorcily)		Malformed genitalia	12 0
Uterine bleeding None Other	17 17	(open a)		Renat agenesis	13 0
(Specify)		41. METHOD OF DELIVERY ICheck all that apply	1	Other urogenital anomalies	0.000
		CARACTER STATES	01 [7]	(Specify)	-14 0
366. OTHER RISK FACTORS FOR THIS F	PHEGNANGY	Vaginal		WYCegA.Vert	
(Complete all dems)		Vaginal bith after previous C section	02 🗆	Cieft sp/palate	15 D
Tobacco use during pregnency	Yes D No. D	Primary C section	03 🖸	Polydactyly/Syndactyly/Adactyly	16 0
Average number sig rettes per day		Repeat C section	04 🗆	Ciub foot	17 0
Alcohol use during preprints	Yes No C	Forceas	05 🗆	Diaphragmatic herma	18 0
Average number drinks per week		Vacuum	06 🖸	Other musculoskelete/integumental anomalies	1.00
Weight gained during pregnancy	D 5	42 ABNORMAL CONDITIONS OF THE NEWBORN	N	(Specific)	- 19 0
		(Check all that apply)			
39. OBSTETRIC PROCEDURES		A CONTRACTOR CONTRACTOR	100015	Down's syndrome	20 C
(Check all that apply)		Anemia (Hot. < 39)Higb < 13)	01 [Other chromosomal anomalies	
	12 March 14	Birth injury	02 🗆	(Specify)	- 21 C
Amnocentesis	01 D	Felal alcohol syndrome	03 🗆	None	00 E
Electronic fetal monitoring	02 🗖	Hyaline membrane disease/ROS	04 🗆	Other	22 1
Induction of labor	03 🗆	Meconium aspiration syndrome	05 🖸	(Specify)	
Stimulation of labor	04 🖸	Assisted ventilation < 30 min	06 🗆	TODACH PI	
Tocolyws	05 🗆	Assisted ventilation ≥ 30 min	07 🗖		
Uniasound	06 🗖	Seizures	08 🗆		
None	00 🗆	None	00 🗆		
Other	07 🖬	Other	09 🗆	1	
(Specify)		1Specify1			

CDC 64 91 HEV 1 89

DEPARTMENTON MEN DU AND MUMAN SERVICES - AURILI HER, IM SERVICE - CENTERS FOR DESEASE CONTROL NATIONAL CENTER HOMER, THIS TATISTICS - TREPRENSION

.

Figure 2

U.S. STANDARD CERTIFICATE OF LIVE BIRTH

LOCAL FILE NO.		BIRTH NUMBER:					
CHILD	1. CHILD'S NAME (First, Middle, Last, Suffix)		1	2. TIME OF BIRTH	3. SEX	4. DATE OF BIRTH (Mo/Day/Yr)
	5. FACILITY NAME (If not institution, give stre	et and number)	6. CITY, TO	WN, OR LOC	ATION OF BIRTH	7. CO	UNTY OF BIRTH
MOTHER	8a. MOTHER'S CURRENT LEGAL NAME (First, Middle, Last, Suffix)		8b. DAT	E OF BIRTH (Mo/Da	y/Yr)	
	8c. MOTHER'S NAME PRIOR TO FIRST M	ARRIAGE (First, Middle, Last, Suffix)		8d. BIRTHPL	ACE (State, Territory	, or Foreign C	Country)
	9a. RESIDENCE OF MOTHER-STATE	9b. COUNTY		9c. Cl	TY, TOWN, OR LOC	CATION	
	9d. STREET AND NUMBER	·	9e.	APT. NO.	9f. ZIP CODE		9g. INSIDE CITY LIMITS? □ Yes □ No
FATHER	10a. FATHER'S CURRENT LEGAL NAME	(First, Middle, Last, Suffix)	10b. DATE (DF BIRTH (Mo/	Day/Yr) 10c. Bl	RTHPLACE	(State, Territory, or Foreign Country)
	11. CERTIFIER'S NAME:		12. DA	ATE CERTIFIE	D	13. DATE	FILED BY REGISTRAR
CERTIFIER	TITLE: D MD DO DO HOSPITAL A			////	/	MM	
		INFORMATION F		STRATIVE U	ISE		
MOTUER	14. MOTHER'S MAILING ADDRESS: D	Same as residence, or: State:			City, Town, or Loca	tion:	
MOTHER	Street & Number:				Apartment No.:		Zip Code:
	15. MOTHER MARRIED? (At birth, conception		□ Yes				EQUESTED 17. FACILITY ID. (NPI)
	-	GEMENT BEEN SIGNED IN THE HOSPI				IYes □ N	0
	18. MOTHER'S SOCIAL SECURITY NUMBI	=K:	19	FATHER'S S	SOCIAL SECURITY	NUMBER:	
		INFORMATION FOR MED					
MOTHER	 MOTHER'S EDUCATION (Check the box that best describes the highest degree or level of school completed at the time of delivery) 	21. MOTHER OF HISPANIC ORIGIN? that best describes whether the mol Spanish/Hispanic/Latina. Check the mother is not Spanish/Hispanic/Latir	her is "No" box if	consider:	s herself to be)	ie or more ra	aces to indicate what the mother
	□ 8th grade or less	No, not Spanish/Hispanic/Latina			African American n Indian or Alaska N	lative	
	□ 9th - 12th grade, no diploma	Yes, Mexican, Mexican American, C	Chicana		f the enrolled or prin		
001	High school graduate or GED completed	Yes, Puerto Rican		 Chinese Filipino Japanese 	-		
12	□ Some college credit but no degree	Yes, Cuban		□ Japanes □ Korean	e		
10	□ Associate degree (e.g., AA, AS)	□ res, cuban		□ Vietname			
/20	□ Bachelor's degree (e.g., BA, AB, BS)	□ Yes, other Spanish/Hispanic/Latina		 Other As Native H 	sian (Specify) lawaiian		
) FT	Master's degree (e.g., MA, MS, MEng, MEd, MSW, MBA)	(Specify)		🗆 Samoan	ian or Chamorro	E.)	
DRAFT 07/10/2001	 Doctorate (e.g., PhD, EdD) or Professional degree (e.g., MD, DDS, DVM, LLB, JD) 			□ Other Pa □ Other (Specify)	acific Islander (Speci	ty)	

Private Insurance

Medicaid

Self-pay

(Specify)

10. MOTHER'S MEDICAL RECORD NUMBER

D Other

of packs

OR

OR OR

OR

FΑ	THER	the time of delivery)	that best describes Spanish/Hispanic/La mother is not Spanis	whether the father is atino. Check the "No" box if h/Hispanic/Latino)	 25. FATHER'S RACE (Che considers himself to be White Black or African American 	, ,
I		□ 8th grade or less	No, not Spanish/His	spanic/Latino	American Indian or Ala (Name of the enrolled or	
		9th - 12th grade, no diploma	Yes, Mexican, Mexican	can American, Chicano	 Asian Indian Chinese 	
		High school graduate or GED completed	Yes, Puerto Rican		□ Filipino	
		□ Some college credit but no degree	Yes, Cuban		□ Japanese □ Korean	
	le l	□ Associate degree (e.g., AA, AS)			 Vietnamese Other Asian (Specify) 	
	s Medical No	□ Bachelor's degree (e.g., BA, AB, BS)	Yes, other Spanish/		 Native Hawaiian Guamanian or Chamore 	
	s Me No.	Master's degree (e.g., MA, MS, MEng, MEd, MSW, MBA)	(Specify)		□ Samoan	0
Mother's Name	Mother's Record	Doctorate (e.g., PhD, EdD) or Professional degree (e.g., MD, DDS, DVM, LLB, JD)			Other Pacific Islander (Other (Specify)	Specify)
		26. PLACE WHERE BIRTH OCCURRED (,	ENDANT'S NAME, TITLE, AN	FETAL	R TRANSFERRED FOR MATERNAL MEDICAL OR INDICATIONS FOR DELIVERY? □ Yes □ No
		 Hospital Freestanding birthing center 		N	IF YES	, ENTER NAME OF FACILITY MOTHER FERRED FROM:
		Home Birth: Planned to deliver at home?	□ Yes □ No	MD DO CNM/CM DO	THER MIDWIFE	
		Clinic/Doctor's office Other (Specify)		OTHER (Specify)		
мо	THER		natal Care	///		ER OF PRENATAL VISITS FOR THIS PREGNANCY(If none, enter "0".)
		31. MOTHER'S HEIGHT 32. MO (inches)	THER'S PREPREGNANC		'S WEIGHT AT DELIVERY (pounds)	34. DID MOTHER GET WIC FOOD FOR HERSELF DURING THIS PREGNANCY? □ Yes □ No
			MBER OF OTHER EGNANCY OUTCOMES		BEFORE AND DURING PRE nter either the number of ciga	

number of packs of cigarettes smoked. IF NONE, ENTER "0".

Three Months Before Pregnancy First Three Months of Pregnancy Second Three Months of Pregnancy

9 DATETAST NORMAL MENSES BEGAN

MM - DD - YYYY-

Last Three Months of Pregnancy

Average number of cigarettes or packs of cigarettes smoked per day.

of cigarettes

LIVE BIRTHS (Do not include

35b. Now Dead

Number

None

DATE OF LAST LIVE BIR

-<u>MM</u> / <u>YYYY</u>

this child)

35a.Now Living

Number

□ None

350

(spontaneous or induced

DATE OF LAST OTHER

PREGNANCY OUTCOME

-MM YYYY

36a. Other Outcomes

Number

None

hh

losses or ectopic pregnancies)

		44. ONSET OF LABOR (Check all that app	V)	
MEDICAL	41. RISK FACTORS IN THIS PREGNANCY (Check all that apply)		.,	46. METHOD OF DELIVERY
AND	Diabetes Prepregnancy (Diagnosis prior to this pregnancy) Contribution (Diagnosis in this pregnancy)	 Premature Rupture of the Membranes (p 	rolonged, ≥12 hrs.)	A. Was delivery with forceps attempted but unsucessful?
HEALTH	Gestational (Diagnosis in this pregnancy)	 Precipitous Labor (<3 hrs.) 		🗆 Yes 🗆 No
INFORMATION	Hypertension Prepregnancy (Chronic) Gestational (PIH, preedampsia, edampsia) 	□ Prolonged Labor (≥ 20 hrs.)		B. Was delivery with vacuum extraction attempted but unsuccessful?
	 Previous preterm birth 	45. CHARACTERISTICS OF LABOR AND	DELIVERY	🗆 Yes 🗆 No
	 Other previous poor pregnancy outcome (Includes, perinatal 	Induction of labor		C. Fetal presentation at birth
	death, small-for-gestational age/intrauterine growth restricted birth)			 Cephalic Breech
	,	 Augmentation of labor 		□ Other
	 Vaginal bleeding during this pregnancy prior to the onset of labor 	 Non-vertex presentation 		D. Final route and method of delivery (Check one) Vaginal/Spontaneous
	 Pregnancy resulted from infertility treatment 	 Steroids (glucocorticoids) for fetal lung 	maturation	□ Vaginal/Openaneous
	 Mother had a previous cesarean delivery If yes, how many 	received by the mother prior to delivery		□ Vaginal/Vacuum
Ξ	None of the above	 Antibiotics received by the mother during 	g labor	□ Cesarean
DRAFT 07/10/2001	42. INFECTIONS PRESENT AND/OR TREATED DURING	 Clinical chorioamnionitis diagnosed dur maternal temperature <u>>38*C</u> (100.4*F) 	ing labor or	If cesarean, was a trial of labor attempted? Ves No
/10	THIS PREGNANCY (Check all that apply) Gonorrhea	 Moderate/heavy meconium staining of the 	ne amniotic fluid	47.MATERNAL MORBIDITY (Check all that apply) (Complications associated with labor and
0	□ Syphilis		<i>.</i>	delivery)
E.	 Herpes Simplex Virus (HSV) 	 Fetal intolerance of labor such that one following actions was taken: in-utero re 		 Maternal transfusion
A	Chlamydia	measures, further fetal assessment, or o	perative delivery	 Third or fourth degree perineal laceration
RO	Hepatitis B	Epidural or spinal anesthesia during lab	or	 Ruptured uterus
	 Hepatitis C 			Unplanned hysterectomy
	None of the above A3. OBSTETRIC PROCEDURES (Check all that apply)	None of the above		 Admission to intensive care unit Unplanned operating room procedure
	 Cervical cerdage 			following delivery
				 None of the above
	External cephalic version: Successful 			
	□ Failed			
	None of the above			
				NITAL ANOMALIES OF THE NEWBORN
NEWBORN		MAL CONDITIONS OF THE NEWBORN (Check all that apply)	55. CONGEN	(Check all that apply)
		d ventilation required immediately	□ Anencep	bhaly
·		g delivery	Meningo	myelocele/Spina bifida
	grams □ lb/oz □ Assisted	d ventilation required for more than	-	congenital heart disease
	50. OBSTETRIC ESTIMATE OF GESTATION: six hour		Congeni Omphalo	tal diaphragmatic hernia
	□ NICU a	dmission	□ Gastros	
	(completed weeks)			luction defect (excluding congenital amputation and
<u>o</u>	51. APGAR SCORE: Newbor therapy	n given surfactant replacement	÷	syndromes)
	Score at 5 minutes:		Cleft Lip Cleft Pa	with or without Cleft Palate
cor		ics received by the newborn for ed neonatal sepsis	 Down Sy 	
L A	- Suspeci		□ Kar	yotype confirmed
	Score at 10 minutes:	or serious neurologic dysfunction		yotype pending ted chromosomal disorder
Mother's Name		ant birth injury (skeletal fracture(s), peripheral	nerve Gran	yotype confirmed yotype pending
∠ ≥ ∞ ∞		nd/or soft tissue/solid organ hemorrhage whic s intervention)	n □ Hyposp	adias
ier,	53. IF NOT SINGLE BIRTH - Born First, Second,	the show	None of	f the anomalies listed above
loth	Third, etc. (Specify)	the adove		
Σ Σ	56. WAS INFANT TRANSFERRED WITHIN 24 HOURS OF DEI	LIVERY? □ Yes □ No	57. IS INFANT LIVING	GAT TIME OF REPORT? 58. IS INFANT BEING
			□ Yes □ No □ Int	fant transferred, BREASTFED?
	IF YES, NAME OF FACILITY INFANT TRANSFERRED TO:		sta	tus unknown 🗆 Yes 🗆 No

TYPE/PRINT IN PERMANENT BLACK INK	1.075	L	ICEN	U.S. ST SE AND CERTI			ARRIAGE	SCATE FUT	La Lumiter	
FOR INSTRUCTIONS SEE	1. GROOM'S NAME (First.N	Address of the owner					2. AGE LAST	and the second difference of the second s	Schenter	
HANDBOOK	3a. RESIDENCE-CITY, TOV	NN. OR LOCATION				36	. COUNTY			
GROOM	30. STATE		4. BIF	THPLACE State or Fareig	Count	וופ	5. DATE	OF BIRTH (Moath,De	ny. Yeari	
	6a. FATHER'S NAME (First,	Middle,Lastl		6b. BIRTHPLACE (State Foreign Country)	oi 71	MOTHER'S N Maiden Soma	AME (Fost, Middle, mei		BIRTHPU Foreign C	ACE (State or Inumley)
	8a. BRIDE'S NAME (First, M	idelle,Lusti			86: M	AIDEN SURNAMI	t ill different:	9. AGE LAS	T BIRTHO	и л уг
	100 RESIDENCE-CITY TO	WN. OBLOCATION				34	DB. COUNTY			
BRIDE	10c. STATE		11. B/R	THPLACE (State or Foreig)	Countr	vi.	12. DA76	DF BIRTH (Mighte, D	Xav. Year/	
	134 FATHER'S NAME /First	Michile Last;		13b. BIRTHPLACE ISIN W Fareign Country		la. MOTHER'S 1 Maideir Sam	uAME (First, Middle, arre)	146		ACE (State w Country)
SIGNATURES	15. GROOM'S SIGNATURE	res the Marriage in Th	AT WE	ARE FREE TO MARR	Y UND	ER THE LAW	S OF THIS STAT			IEF
LICENSE TO MARRY	State of 18. SUBSCRIBED TO AND ME ON: (Month.Day, Ye		-	IGNATURE OF ISSUING OF		Ţne	20.	ITLE OF ISSUING OF	FFICIAL	
	21. I CERTIFY THAT THE A WERE MARRIED ON: //		228	WHERE MARHIED-CITY.	TOWN	OR LOCATION	226.	COUNTY		
	230. SIGNATURE OF PERS	ON PERFORMING CEREMO	NY	23	b NAA	€ (Тγр6/Рліоц		23c. TITLE		
CEREMONY	23d ADDRESS OF PERSON	V PERFORMING CEREMON	Y (Street	and Nomber or Rural Rout	e Numb	er, Gity or Town	State, Zip Codel			1
	24a. SIGNATURE OF WITN	ESS TO CEREMONY				24b. SIGNATS	JRE OF WITNESS TO	CEREMONY		
LOCAL OFFICIAL	25. SIGNATURE OF LOCAL	. OFFICIAL MAKING RETUP	IN TO 5	TATE HEALTH DEPARTME	NT		26. DATE FILED	AY LOCAL OFFICIAL	Mooth,I	Day, Yeari
	CONFIDE	NTIAL INFORMATION.	THE	NFORMATION BELOW	WILL	NOT APPEAP	ON CERTIFIED	COPIES OF THE	RECOR	D.
	27 NUMBER OF THIS MARHIAGE-	28. IF PREVIOUS		RRIED, LAST MARRIAGE NDED		29. RACE-A	melican Indian, Black	(Specify only)	EDUCA	(TION rode completed)
	First, Second.etc. /Specify_below/	By Death, Divorce, Dis or Annuiment (Specify		Date (Month.Doy, Year)	í.		: (Specify below)	Elementary/Seco ID 121	andary	College (1.4 (r. 5 +)
GROOM	27a.	28a.		26b		29a		30a.		
BRIDE	276.	28c.	1.2	286.		29b.		30b	1	

Figure 3: U.S. Standard Licence and Certificate of Marriage

PHS T 004 REV 1/89

PERMANENT BLACK INK FOR STRUCTIONS		1		U.S IFICATE OF OF MARRIAC		CE, DIS		N			
SEE HANDBOOK	CO 1. HUSBAND'S NAME (Fus	URT FILE NUMBER 1. Micklin, Luszi				-		5	TATE HLE I	VUMBER	
HUSBAND	2a. RESIDENCE -CITY, TO	WN. OR LOCATION					26. COUNTY	(
	ZE. STATE		3. BIR	THPLACE /Stote or P	oreign Count	(y)	1	DATE O	F BIRTH (Month.Bay. Yeor	<u>8</u>
	Sn. WIFE'S NAME IFUST. M.	iddle.Lasti				56. MAIDE	EN SURNAME				
WIFE	Ga. RESIDENCE - CITY. TO	WN. OR LOCATION				I	66. COUNTY	2			
1	6c. STATE		7. BIR	THPLACE (State or)	breign Count	181	8	DATE D	F BIRTH /	Month Day, Yese	
	9a. PLACE OF THIS MARR LOCATION	AGE-CITY, TOWN, OR	9b. Cr	DUNTY		9c STAT	E OR FOREIGN C	OUNTRY		E OF THIS MAR	RIAGE
MARRIAGE	11. DATE COUPLE LAST R HOUSEHOLD (Meerle, D			UMBER OF CHILDRES F THE DATE IN ITEM			JSEHOLD AS 1	3. PETITIC T Hu Other (strand [] Wife]] B	off ¹
ATTORNEY	14a. NAME OF PETITIONER	<i>M</i>					treet and Numbe				
	15. I CERTIFY THAT THE N	MARRIAGE OF THE ABOVE	16. TY	PE OF DECREE-Div	orce. Dissolut	ion, or Annu	lment (Spec/ly)	17. DA	ATE RECO	RDED /Month.D	ny, Yearr
DECREE	NAMED PERSONS WAI (Manth.Day, Year) 18. NUMBER OF CHILDREN AWARDED TO	S DISSOLVED ON: N UNDER 18 WHOSE PHYS	RCAL CU			ion, of Annu		-	ATE RECO		yy, Yean?
	NAMED PERSONS WAI (Manth.Day, Year) 18. NUMBER OF CHILDREN AWARDED TO Husband	N UNDER 18 WHOSE PHYSWile Nuite	RCAL CU		19. COUN	ITY OF DECI		-	ITLE OF C		HED.
	MAMED PERSONS WAY	N UNDER 18 WHOSE PHYSWile Nuite	SICAL CU	STODY WAS	19. COUN 22. TITLE	ITY OF DECI	REE ING OFFICIAL	20. TI	ITLE OF C	DURT 23. DATE SIGN (Month: Day)ED , Year)
	NAMED PERSONS WAY	S DISSOLVED ON:	THE IN	STODY WAS	19. COUN 22. TITLE	ITY OF DECI OF CERTIFY NOT APPI	ING OFFICIAL	20 TI	OPIES OF	23. DATE SIGN (Month: Day F THE RECOI 27. EQUC	ied , Yeari RD,
	NAMED PERSONS WAY (Month.Day, Year) 18. NUMBER OF CHILDREN AWARDED TO Husband Juant (Husband/Write No children 21. SIGNATURE OF CERTIN CONFIDEN	S DISSOLVED ON:	THE IN SLY MAR SUITION	STODY WAS	19. COUM 22. TITLE .OW WILL	OF CERTIFY	REE ING OFFICIAL	20. TI	OPIES O	23. DATE SIGN (Month: Day F THE RECOI 27. EQUC	ED . Year) RD. ATION grade completed College
	NAMED PERSONS WAY	S DISSOLVED ON: N UNDER 18 WHOSE PHYS WileOtherO FYING OFFICIAL NTIAL INFORMATION. ZS. IF PREVIOU: By Death, Divorce, Dis-	THE IN SLY MAR SUITION	STODY WAS IFORMATION BEI RIED. LAST MARRIA IDED	19. COUM 22. TITLE .OW WILL	OF CERTIFY	ING OFFICIAL EAR ON CERT	20. TI	OPIES O	DURT 23. DATE SIGN (Month: Day F THE RECOI 27. EOUC dry only highest tary/Secondary	ED , Year) RD. ATION grade completed

Figure 4: U.S. Standard Certificate of Divorce, Dissolution of Marriage, or Annulment

PHS T-005 BEV: 1-89

Figure 5	: U.S.	Standard	Report	t of Ind	duced '	Termination	ı of Pı	regnancy
								J

TYPE/PRINT IN PERMANENT BLACK INK FOR INSTRUCTIONS SEE HANDBOOK

U.S. STANDARD REPORT OF INDUCED TERMINATION OF PREGNANCY

	clinic or hospit	al, give add	ess)	2. CITY, TOWN, OR LOCATION PREGNANCY TERMINATION	OF 3. COUNTY OF PREG	ILE NUMBER	
4. PATIENT'S IDENTIFICA	TION	5. AGE LA	ST BIRTHDAY	6. MARRIED?	7. DATE OF PREGNANCY T (Month, Day, Year)	ERMINATION	
8a. RESIDENCE-STATE	8b. CC	DUNTY	BC, CITY, TOV	VN, OR LOCATION		8e, ZIP CODE	
9. OF HISPANIC ORIGIN? (Specify No or Yes - if yes	s,		10. RACE		11. EDUC. (Specify only highest)		
specity Cuban, Mexican, Puerto Rican, etc.)			American Black White Other (Sp	Indian	Elementary/Secondary (0-12)	College (1-4 or 5+)	
12. DATE LAST NORMAL MENSES BEGAN	13. CLINIC		TE	14. PREVIOUS P	REGNANCIES (Complete each	section)	
MENSES BEGAN OF GESTATION (Month, Day, Year) (Weeks)				LIVE BIRTHS	OTHER TERMINATIONS		
			14a. Now L		14c. Spontaneous	14d. Induced (Do not include this termination Number	
			None	None None		D None	
			15. TYPE	E OF TERMINATION PROCEDUR (Check only one)	E		
Mi Di Ini St	ilation and tra-Uterine harp Curet	nsurgica Evacuat Instillati tage (D8	I), Specify Me ion (D&E) on (Saline or I C)	E OF TERMINATION PROCEDUR (Check only one) dication(s) Prostaglandin)			
Mi Di In Si Hy	edical (No ilation and tra-Uterine harp Curet ysterotomy	nsurgica Evacuat Instillati tage (D8 y/Hystere	I), Specify Me ion (D&E) on (Saline or I C) ectomy	(Check only one) dication(s)			
Mi Di In Si Hy	edical (No ilation and tra-Uterine harp Curet ysterotomy ther (Spec	nsurgica Evacuat Instillati tage (D8 y/Hystere	I), Specify Me ion (D&E) on (Saline or I C) ectomy	(Check only one) dication(s) Prostaglandin)			

PHS-T008 REV. 12/97

"U.S. GPO: 1998-621-561/93092